

PEOPLE'S DAILY ON CAMBODIAN CONFERENCE

Peking NCNA International Service in English 0204 GMT 3 May 1965

...

The Cambodian question should under no circumstances be mixed up with the questions of Vietnam and Laos. So far as the Cambodian question is concerned it is one of prohibiting the US aggression and interference and guaranteeing Cambodia's independence, neutrality, and territorial integrity. Completely different is the question of Vietnam where the United States has thoroughly scrapped the 1954 Geneva agreements, sent troops to South Vietnam and unleashed a war of aggression there, and is extending the war to the north. The prerequisite for a settlement of the Vietnam question is, therefore, the immediate and complete withdrawal of US troops from Vietnam.

The situation in Laos is again different. In that country, the United States has sabotaged the government of national union, instigated the right wing faction to rekindle the civil war, and sent its air force to wantonly bomb the Laotian ~~liberating~~ liberated areas. Therefore, to settle the Laotian question the US must be made to ~~strictly~~ strictly implement the 1962 agreements and cease its aggression and military intervention in Laos, and the tripartite national union government must be restored without delay on the basis of the Zurich and the Plain des Jarres agreements. It is obviously with ulterior motives that some persons are now trying to confuse the Cambodian question with the questions of Vietnam and Laos.

....

25  
W  
FILE SUBJ.  
DATE SUB-CAT.

W  
FILE SUBJ.  
DATE SUB-CAT.  
MAY 65

Liu Ning-i Rally Speech

Peking Domestic Service in Mandarin 1000 GMT 4 May 1965

....

He pointed out: The only way to settle the question of Vietnam is to adhere to the four-point proposition of the DRV Government, the 22 March statement of the NLFV, and the will of the Vietnamese people. Only the withdrawal of all US armed forces from ~~MYKIMYIA~~ South Vietnam can provide a reliable guarantee for the Vietnamese people to settle their own affairs themselves and realize the peaceful unification of their fatherland.

....

NCNA English report on speech

...

The Chinese public leader pointed out that US imperialism wanted to make use of an international conference on Cambodia, endeavoring to link up such a conference with its own schemes. The Chinese people fully supported the just stand taken by Prince Sihanouk on this question. "We hold that only the National Front for the Liberation of South Vietnam can represent the South Vietnamese people," he said. "We firmly oppose the attempt by US imperialism and its followers to bundle together the problem of Cambodia with that of Vietnam and Laos.W....."

W  
FILE SUBJ.  
DATE SUB-CAT.  
MAY 65

RED FLAG COMMENTATOR ON VIETNAM

Peking Domestic Service in Mandarin 1230 GMT 4 May 1965

....

Recently Johnson put forward his swindle of the so-called "unconditional discussions" for a "peaceful settlement." This is a vain attempt on his part to coerce and inveigle the Vietnamese people, who are marching toward final victory, into giving up their anti-US struggle so that US imperialism can gain by peaceful means what it failed to gain on the battlefield... Therefore, before the people of the world there are two diametrically opposed lines for a solution to the Vietnam issue: One is the line advanced by US imperialism. That is: the Vietnamese ~~problem~~ people have to lay down their arms so that the US aggressors may occupy South Vietnam and massacre the people there, and let US imperialism bomb North Vietnam and commit aggression against the DRV. This is the line of encroaching upon the independence and sovereignty of Vietnam, stepping up aggression, extending the war, and endangering peace in Asia and the world.... The other line is that advanced by the Vietnamese people, which is to persevere in the patriotic and just struggle against US imperialism, defeat US imperialist armed aggression by carrying out the revolutionary armed struggle resolutely, effect the four-point, just proposal of the DRV for the settlement of the Vietnam question, and force the US aggressors out of Vietnam. This is the line of defending the independence and sovereignty of Vietnam, smashing US imperialism's plan of aggression and war, and preserving peace in Asia and the world.....

People's Daily on Antifascist War Anniversary

30  
WAR  
FILE SUBJ.  
DATE SUB-CAT  
5/65

"Imperialism always used the counterrevolutionary dual tactics of armed aggression and fraudulent peace, sometimes alternately, sometimes simultaneously, against the revolutionary people of any country. The people in their turn must make skillful use of revolutionary dual tactics in struggling against imperialism.

The signing of the Soviet-German nonaggression treaty on the eve of the antifascist war and the conclusion of the Korean armistice agreement and of the two Geneva agreements after the war all show that as long as the basic interests of the people are not violated, it is perfectly permissible and even necessary to conduct negotiations with the imperialists and reach certain agreements with them at the appropriate occasion.

However, a tit-for tat struggle is necessary when negotiating with the imperialists. It is absolutely impossible to gain through talks what is not won on the battlefield. Even when certain agreements are reached and signed with the imperialists, they never keep their word and they may tear up the agreements at any time. Whoever pins his hopes of preventing war and safeguarding peace on negotiations with the imperialists, or goes so far as to accommodate himself to imperialism at the expense of the basic interests of the people, will come to grief in the face of reality... They (Khrushchev's successors) are colluding with the US aggressors and plotting to sell out the basic interests of the people of Vietnam and of all other countries, including the Soviet Union."

Peking NCNA in English 8 May 1965

People's Daily Observer on Indian Proposal

Peking N CNA in English 9 May 1965

NGO's  
FILE  
DATE 5/10/65  
SUBJ.  
SUB-CAT.

"On 24 April, Indian President Radhakrishnan put forward a "new Proposal" for the solution of the Vietnam question. The proposal is unique indeed in calling for the "cessation of hostilities in both parts of Vietnam" and the establishment of an "Afro-Asian force" in Vietnam to "police" and Maintain" the so-called boundaries. These, the Indian president said, "may be thought as necessary steps for the restoration of peace and stability in this area."....The Indian president is defying the Geneva agreements in describing the 17th parallel, the temporary demarcation line in Vietnam, as a boundary. It is clearly stated in the final declaration of the Geneva conference that the military demarcation line in Vietnam "should not in any way be interpreted as constituting a political or territorial boundary."

"Of late, the United States has been attempting to induce the Vietnamese people to submit by means of an international conference on Cambodia. In its eagerness to lend a hand, the Indian Government has more than once voiced its "earnest hope" for the convocation of such a conference and declared its readiness to "play its part and render every assistance" in this regard. How well they have been keeping step and singing in tune with each other! It should also be pointed out that India is committed to certain obligations in regard to the Vietnam question. Being a member and the chairman of the international commission in Vietnam, India has the unshirkable duty to ~~xxxxxx~~ ensure the implementation of the Geneva agreements. However, the ~~fact~~ fact is that the Indian ~~Government~~ representative has never done anything to check the US violation and sabotage of the Geneva agreements or its extension of the war in Vietnam; instead, it has been doing its utmost to shield and connive at these US crimes. This being the case, what right has India got to impose its views on the VN question?

W  
FILE SUBJ.  
DATE SUB-CAT.  
MAY 65

People's Daily Editorial: The Johnson Doctrine is Neo-Hitlerism

Peking NCNA International Service in English 0328 14 May 1965

"The birth of the 'Johnson Doctrine' is closely tied up with the capitulationist line followed by the modern revisionists. US imperialism sees through the modern revisionists represented by Khrushchev as clearly as it sees through glass. Neither Khrushchev himself nor his successors dare to offend US imperialism. They cling tenaciously to the general line of 'peaceful coexistence' based on 'US-Soviet cooperation for world hegemony.' Like the doctrine of appeasement of Chamberlain and Daladier of the 30's toward German fascism, the capitulationist line of the Khrushchev revisionists has greatly inflated the arrogance of US imperialism. Khrushchev revisionism is the new doctrine of appeasement of the 60's."